



If you have questions
regarding Rule 213 and
Cases Governed by
Rule 222, please contact:

Larry Kowalczyk
312-540-7616
lkowalczyk@querrey.com

Querrey & Harrow

175 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604
1-800-678-2756

www.querrey.com[®]

© 2011 Querrey & Harrow, Ltd. All rights reserved.

ILLINOIS LAW MANUAL

CHAPTER XV

AMENDED SUPREME COURT RULE 213

C. RULE 213 AND CASES GOVERNED BY RULE 222

In Kapsouris v. Rivera, 319 Ill. App. 3d 844, 747 N.E.2d 427 (2nd Dist. 2001), the Appellate Court held that the disclosure of opinion witnesses in response to a Rule 213(g) interrogatory is not required where the same disclosure was made pursuant to Rule 222. Nothing in Amended Rule 213(f) should be interpreted to alter the status of this ruling. Accordingly, in cases governed by Rule 222, lay witnesses, independent expert witnesses, and controlled expert witnesses should continue to be disclosed pursuant to the mandatory disclosure requirements of Rule 222, and not Rule 213(f).