For questions, call Larry S. Kowalczyk (312) 540-7616

Querrey & Harrow

www.querrey.com®

© 2024 Querrey & Harrow, Ltd. All rights reserved.

ILLINOIS LAW MANUAL

CHAPTER XV AMENDED SUPREME COURT RULE 213

C. RULE 213 AND CASES GOVERNED BY RULE 222

In <u>Kapsouris v. Rivera</u>, 319 III. App. 3d 844, 747 N.E.2d 427 (2nd Dist. 2001), the Appellate Court held that the disclosure of opinion witnesses in response to a Rule 213(g) interrogatory is not required where the same disclosure was made pursuant to Rule 222. Nothing in Amended Rule 213(f) should be interpreted to alter the status of this ruling. Accordingly, in cases governed by Rule 222, lay witnesses, independent expert witnesses, and controlled expert witnesses should continue to be disclosed pursuant to the mandatory disclosure requirements of Rule 222, and not Rule 213(f).